

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/807,664	07/05/2001	Wolfgang Becker	H3624PCT/US	2217	
23657 75	590 09/24/2003				
COGNIS CORPORATION			EXAMINER		
2500 RENAISS GULPH MILLS	SANCE BLVD., SUITE 2 S, PA 19406	:00	HOWARD, JACQUELINE V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1764		
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4.9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/807,664	BECKER ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacqueline V. Howard	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate or the final originally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a)⊡ affidavit, b)⊡ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-28</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			

Jacqueline V. Howard Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764

10. Other: ____

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: no amendments have been made to the claims and the arguments presented to traverse the final rejection are not persuasive of error in the rejection. They repeat the arguments addressed by the examiner in the last office action. The consisting essentially of language of claim 25 would not render the claims patentable since patentee does not teach he has to have a mixture of fatty acid esters which includes animal oil. The reference provides for the presence of methyl esters of the same vegetable oils as in the instant claims. The examiner maintains the position set forth in the final rejection.

JACOVIELINE V. HOWARD PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1**7**00